

SC Annual School Report Card Summary

MAYEWOOD MIDDLE

Sumter 2

Grades: 6-8 Enrollment: 161

Principal: Dr. Mary B. Hallums Superintendent: Dr. J. Frank Baker Board Chair: Mr. Larry Addison

PERFORMANCE

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites. Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.


| YEAR | ABSOLUTE RATING | GROWTH RATING | PALMETTO GOLD AND SILVER AWARD | | AYP STATUS | NCLB IMPROVEMENT STATUS |
|------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | | | General Performance | Closing the Gap | | |
| 2010 | Below Average | Below Average | TBD | TBD | Not Met | RP |
| 2009 | Below Average | Average | N/A | N/A | Met | CA-DELAY |
| 2008 | At-Risk | Average | N/A | N/A | Not Met | CA |


ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*


| EXCELLENT | GOOD | AVERAGE | BELOW AVERAGE | AT-RISK |
|-----------|------|---------|---------------|---------|
| 0 | 0 | 8 | 30 | 23 |


^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/09/2011. Schools with Students Like Ours are Middle Schools with Poverty Indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for this school.

PASS PERFORMANCE









NAEP PERFORMANCE*

* Performance reported for SC and nation, data not available at school level. Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels.

| Percentages at r | .,, , | orno vornone i | | | | |
|---|---------|----------------|---------------------|--------------|------|--|
| READING – GRADE 8 (2009) | | | | | | |
| South Carolina | | 32 | 44 | 23 | 2 | |
| Nation | | 26 | 43 | 2 | 8 | |
| | % | Below Basic | % Basic, Proficient | t, and Advar | nced | |
| Below Basic | Basic | ☐ Proficient | Advanced | • | | |
| MATH – GRADE | 8 (2009 | | | | | |
| | ٥٠٠٠, ٥ | , | ı | | | |
| South Carolina | | 31 | 39 | 23 | 7 | |
| Nation | | 29 | 39 | 25 | | |
| % Below Basic % Basic, Proficient, and Advanced | | | | | | |
| ■ Below Basic □ Proficient ■ Advanced | | | | | | |
| SCIENCE - GRADE 8 (2005) | | | | | | |
| South Carolina | | 31 | 39 | 23 | 7 | |
| Nation | | 29 | 39 | 25 | | |
| % Below Basic | | | | | | |

| END OF COURSE TESTS - 2010 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|
| % of students scoring 70 or above on: | Our Middle School | Middle Schools with Students Like Ours | | | |
| Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2 | 100.0 | 89.1 | | | |
| English 1 | 100.0 | 90.4 | | | |
| Physical Science | N/A | 42.1 | | | |
| US History and the Constitution | N/A | N/A | | | |
| All Subjects | 100.0 | 89.1 | | | |

SC PERFORMANCE GOAL

2010 Goal:

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

2020 Vision:

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

MAYEWOOD MIDDLE [Sumter 2]

SCHOOL PROFILE

| | Our School | Change from Last Year | Middle Schools with Students Like Ours | Median Middle School |
|--|------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|
| Students (n=161) | | | | |
| Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8) | 12.6% | Up from 11.4% | 14.4% | 24.2% |
| Retention rate | 1.2% | Down from 2.3% | 1.1% | 0.7% |
| Attendance rate | 97.3% | Up from 97.0% | 95.4% | 95.9% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 8.4% | Down from 10.3% | 5.5% | 16.4% |
| With disabilities other than speech | 13.8% | Up from 12.6% | 14.8% | 12.0% |
| Older than usual for grade | 1.9% | Down from 4.1% | 5.0% | 2.2% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses | 0.0% | No Change | 0.3% | 0.5% |
| Annual dropout rate | 0.0% | No Change | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Teachers (n=15) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 53.3% | Down from 60.0% | 57.6% | 58.5% |
| Continuing contract teachers | 46.7% | Down from 66.7% | 67.6% | 80.0% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 28.6% | Up from 26.7% | 11.9% | 4.0% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 71.7% | Up from 57.5% | 77.7% | 84.6% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 96.5% | Down from 99.6% | 95.4% | 95.4% |
| Average teacher salary* | \$46,048 | Down 4.5% | \$44,768 | \$46,561 |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 13.5% | Down from 17.9% | 5.4% | 1.3% |
| Professional development days/teacher | 12.1 days | Up from 11.3 days | 10.6 days | 10.2 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | 4.0 | Up from 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 14.0 to 1 | Up from 13.7 to 1 | 17.1 to 1 | 21.1 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 93.5% | Down from 96.6% | 89.6% | 90.4% |
| Opportunities in the arts | Good | Up from Poor | Good | Good |
| SACS accreditation | Yes | No Change | Yes | Yes |
| Parents attending conferences | 99.9% | Down from 100.0% | 97.1% | 98.1% |
| Character development program | Good | No Change | Good | Good |
| Dollars spent per pupil** | \$18,144 | Down 2.0% | \$10,528 | \$7,802 |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction** | 62.3% | Up from 59.8% | 60.4% | 63.8% |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries** | 55.5% | Up from 37.9% | 55.9% | 60.0% |
| % of AYP objectives met | 92.3% | Down from 100.0% | 86.0% | 92.3% |

^{*} Length of contract = 185+ days.

EVALUATION RESULTS

| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|
| Number of surveys returned | 18 | 49 | 47 |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 88.9% | 79.6% | 88.9% |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 88.9% | 91.8% | 89.4% |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations | 83.3% | 87.5% | 89.4% |

^{*}Only students at the highest middle school grade level at this school and their parents were included.

Comprehensive detail, including definitions of ratings, performance criteria, and explanations of status, is available on www.ed.sc.gov and www.eoc.sc.gov as well as school and school district websites.

Printed versions are available from school districts upon request.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Transitioning to middle school is a time of tremendous change for students as well as parents; therefore, Mayewood Middle School is committed to building a community of caring and knowledgeable students who are academically and socially prepared to excel at the high school level. We encourage our parents to be active participants in our school instructional and extracurricular programs. The teachers focus on teaching and reinforcing a relevant, age-appropriate curriculum which fosters and nurtures the inquisitive nature of students. Technology is used throughout the school as an instructional tool. All classrooms are equipped with Promethean Boards. Each child has a laptop and an iPod, and all teachers are trained. Additionally, students have the opportunity to participate in extracurricular activities and academic organizations such as the National Junior Beta Club, Student Council, and the Media Literacy Team.

Mayewood met established criteria for both federal and state accountability. Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) was made at the end of 2009. We are no longer monitored as a state Palmetto Priority School (PPS).

We continue to apply reform initiatives to foster improved academic achievement. We continue to implement MAP (Measure of Academic Progress) testing for all students. This program specifies the academic skills each student has mastered and identifies the skills needed to accelerate the learning.

Data-driven, ongoing, professional development is provided in all areas. Flexible academic scheduling allows teachers to meet in departmental groups as well as collaborative teams in an effort to share and plan for a variety of effective teaching strategies. Likewise, common planning allows grade levels to plan interdisciplinary activities. A variety of research-based strategies are being used to ensure student success.

Dr. Mary Hallums, Principal Margie Lou Jefferson, School Improvement Council Chairperson

^{**} Prior year audited financial data available